REMARKS

The paper is in response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed January 17, 2013 (the "Office Action"). No claims are amended herein. Claim 1 was cancelled in a previous paper. Claims 2-18 remain pending. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application in view of the following remarks.

Unless otherwise explicitly stated, the term "Applicants" is used herein generically and may refer to a single inventor, a set of inventors, an appropriate assignee, or any other entity or person with authority to prosecute this application.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. 251

The Office Action rejects claims 2-18 under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon a defective reissue declaration. In particular, the Office Action asserts two defects: 1) that "The reissue declaration dated 27 September 2012 does not contain an error statement," and 2) that "The reissue declaration, dated 21 November 2008, states the error was failing to provide a certified copy of German priority document 196 22 459.4, filed 24 May 1996 prior to the issuance of US Patent No. 6,014,476. However, there is no copy of German priority document 196 22 459.4 filed within this reissue application either." Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections because neither of these two purported defects are actual defects.

With regard to the Office Action's assertion that "The reissue declaration dated 27 September 2012 does not contain an error statement," Applicants respectfully note that the reissue declaration filed on September 27, 2012 is a Supplemental Reissue Declaration, and as such does not need to include an Error Statement. In particular, MPEP 1414.01, under the heading "I. WHEN AN ERROR MUST BE STATED IN THE SUPPLEMENTAL OATH/DECLARATION" directs Examiners as follows:

In the supplemental reissue oath/declaration, *there is <u>no</u> need to state an error* which is relied upon to support the reissue application if:

(A) an error to support a reissue has been previously and properly stated in a reissue oath/declaration in the application; and
 (B) that error is still being corrected in the reissue application.

If applicant chooses to state any further error at this point (even though such is not needed), the examiner should not review the statement of the further

(Emphasis added). Since previous reissue declaration properly stated an error to support reissue and since that same error is still being corrected in the present reissue application, MPEP 1414.01(I) clearly states that the Supplemental Reissue Declaration filed on September 27, 2012 does not need to state an error which is relied on to support the present reissue application. Further, to the extent that the Examiner construes any statement in the Supplemental Reissue Declaration filed on September 27, 2012 as a statement of further error, MPEP 1414.01(I) clearly states that the Examiner should not review the statement of the further error. Therefore, the Office Action's assertion that "The reissue declaration dated 27 September 2012 does not contain an error statement," while true, does not support rejecting claims 2-18 under 35 U.S.C. 251.

With regard to the Office Action's assertion that "there is no copy of German priority document 196 22 459.4 filed within this reissue application either." Applicants respectfully note that German priority document 196 22 459.4 was filed in the parent of the present reissue application, U.S. Patent Application No. 09/198.692 which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6.014,476, and therefore there is no need to re-file the German priority document 196 22 459.4 in the present reissue application. MPEP 1417 directs Examiners as follows: "A 'claim' for the benefit of an earlier filing date in a foreign country under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) must be made in a reissue application, even though such a claim was previously made in the application for the original patent to be reissued. However, no additional certified copy of the foreign application is necessary. The procedure is similar to that for 'Continuing Applications' in MPEP § 201.14(b)." (Emphasis added). In the present reissue application, a certified copy of the foreign application, German priority document 196 22 459.4 was filed with a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.55(a) in the application of the original patent to be reissued, U.S. Patent Application No. 09/198,692, which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,014,476. See Declaration filed January 22, 2001, error statement. Further, the USPTO is on record acknowledging that this certified copy of the foreign application was received in the application of the original patent to be reissued. In particular, in the very first office action received in the present reissue application, the USPTO stated: "Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). <u>All</u> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/198,692." See office action mailed May 21, 2008, Office Action Summary. For the convenience of the Examiner, the relevant page of this first office action is reproduced below with a box drawn around the relevant acknowledgment:

				1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
			108,595	REVER-GULDS	KER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary		eminer	Art Unit	····
		Ker	io S. Wood	2874	
	- The MAHING DATE of this com	numercation appears	an the cover sheet	with the correspondence	actiress -
Pariod f	ot Reply				
WH8 - Figs. 200 2 No - 2 No - 2 no Any	CONTENED STATUTORY PEROF CHEVER IS LOUDER, PROM TO ONLY ON HOW HOW TO COME TO OTHER HEAD THE STATE OF THE PROMISE I SEE \$11 MONTHS From the making order of the O control for many is approved and more to exceed the top year the many or approved to proportion many are making in your Debut below them the and years many and protection. See \$25 (2019) 15 (4)	HE MAILING DATE Vision of TOTA 1966s Property Street Property Street Property Street Property Street	OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	RCATION a recipio Rompileo DIVISTADO DE LEGIO MALCEDA ARRESPESO PERO E ESTADO	
Status					
***	Responsive to communication/s				
	This action is FINAL	25 (S) This action	in to one friend		
		14004			Same and the
2,6_3	Since this application is in cond				ine interes is
	closed in accombance with the p	кылам шком емра	ree useryee, 1930 C	D; 11 800 (345, 235	
Disposit	tion of Claims				
400	Claim(s) (-6 is/are pending in th	be application.			
	4s) Of the above cisim(s) is is we withdrawn from consideration				
5.	Claim(a) is/are allowed				
	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are resected				
	Claim(s)is/are objected	fo.			
	Claim(s) are subject to re		anamanugan maku		
	tion Papers				
	The specification is objected to f				
10)[23	The drawing(s) filed on 22 Janua				
	Applicant may not request that any				
	Resiacement drawing snew(s) incl				
	The north or replanation is object	and In his the Franch	or Britis Rus attach	ad Office Schon or form	2TO. 167
557 3					
_	ander 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Priority		taim for foreign price	ity under 35 U.S.C	6 119(a)(d) or (f).	
Priority 12)⊠	Anknowledgment is made of a c		ity under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority 12)⊠	Acknowledgment is medical a c	ox.		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority 12)⊠	Advancededgment is made of a c	ot ionity documents has	re been received		92
Priority 12)⊠	Administration of a city Air b) Some 'c) Recest for City Reces	of unity documents has ority documents has	no been received in	Application No. 69/1986	
Priority 12)⊠	Advancededgment is made of a c	of onity documents has locity discernents has pies of the priority di	no been received in occurrents have bee	Application No. 69/1986	
Priority 12)[3] a	Authoraledgment is mede of a classical high some complete of the price	of ionity documents has ionity documents has pless of the priority di mational Bureau (PC	no been received in the been received in occurrents here been 7 State 17 2(x)).	Application No. <u>69/1986</u> in received in this Nation	
Priority 12)[3] a)	Authoraledgment is mede of a classical high some complete of the price	of ionity documents has ionity documents has pless of the priority di mational Bureau (PC	no been received in the been received in occurrents here been 7 State 17 2(x)).	Application No. <u>69/1986</u> in received in this Nation	
Priority 12/53 at	Acknowledgment is made of a cit a cit and a ship Some of a force of the private o	of ionity documents has ionity documents has pless of the priority di mational Bureau (PC	no been received in the been received in occurrents here been 7 State 17 2(x)).	Application No. <u>69/1986</u> in received in this Nation	
Priority 12)(3)	Acknowledgment is made of a cit and Arthur Market State of the Carlifett copies of the part of the carlifett copies of the part of the carlifett copies of the part of the carlifett copies of the car	of contry documents has contry documents has give of the priority do maticinal Bureau (PC accion for a fet of the	ne been received in te been received in occurrents here bee 17 State 17 2(a); a certified acques as	Application No. <u>09(1986)</u> or received in this Nation of received.	
Priority 12)(3)	Acknowledgment is made of a cit and Arthur Market State of the Carlifett copies of the part of the carlifett copies of the part of the carlifett copies of the part of the carlifett copies of the car	of contry documents has contry documents has give of the priority do maticinal Bureau (PC accion for a fet of the	ne been received in se been received in counterests faces here 7 Fische 17 2(a)). a certified acquess as 4) (() secret finance for the certified acquess as 4) (() secret finance for 4) (() secret finance finance for 4) (() secret finance finance for 4) (() secret finance fina	Application No. 69/1986 re received in this Nation is received.	
Priority 12/53 23 Attachmas 12 Note 25 Note 25 Note 25 Note 25 Note	Acknowledgment is made of a cit a cit and a ship Some of a force of the private o	of only documents has jorked the priority do makenal Bureau (PC action for a list of the inex (PTC-aud);	ne been received in se been received in counterests faces here 7 Fische 17 2(a)). a certified acquess as 4) (() secret finance for the certified acquess as 4) (() secret finance for 4) (() secret finance finance for 4) (() secret finance finance for 4) (() secret finance fina	Application No. G9/1986 in received in this Nation of received.	

Page 4 of 6

Since MPEP 1417 clearly states that "no additional certified copy of the foreign application is necessary" where "a claim was previously made in the application for the original patent to be reissued," and since the USPTO has expressly gone on record acknowledging that "All Certified copies of priority documents have been received" in the application for the original patent to be reissued, there is no need to re-file the German priority document 196 22 459.4 in the present reissue application. Therefore, the Office Action's assertion that "there is no copy of German priority document 196 22 459.4 filed within this reissue application either," while true, does not support rejecting claims 2-18 under 35 U.S.C. 251.

Since the various declarations filed in the present reissue case are in order and complete, and since the foreign priority claim to German priority document 196 22 459.4 in the present reissue case is also in order and complete, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 2-18 under 35 U.S.C. 251 is unsupported and unwarranted and should be withdrawn.

Charge Authorization

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any of the following fees that may be applicable to this communication, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-5394: (1) any filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.16; (2) any patent application and reexamination processing fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.17; and/or (3) any post issuance fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.20. In addition, if any additional extension of time is required, which has not otherwise been requested, please consider this a petition therefor and charge any additional fees that may be required to Deposit Account No. 50-5394.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the pending claims are allowable. The present reissue application has now been pending for *over 12 years*. In the event that Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney in order to expedite the issuance of this long-overdue application.

Dated this 1st day of April, 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

/John T. Gadd, Reg. No. 52,928/

JOHN T. GADD Registration No. 52,928 Attorney for Applicants Customer No. 97149 Telephone No. (435) 252-1360